1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:99-CR-00401-02 JAM 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 EDWIN WILLIAM BALERO, 15 Defendant. 16 RELATED CASE ORDER 17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 18 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:12-CR-00369 WBS 19 v. 20 EDWIN WILLIAM BALERO, 21 Defendant. 22 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these 23 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 24 25 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort 26 27 and is also likely to be convenient for the parties. 28 ///

Case 2:99-cr-00401-JAM Document 184 Filed 01/30/13 Page 2 of 2

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are
assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is
effected.
THE TO HURDERODE OPPEDED that the action denominated 2:12

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated 2:12-CR-00369 WBS be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:12-CR-00369 JAM.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of criminal cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 29, 2013.